
the specification of which





DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first, and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first, and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

AN IMPROVED METHOD FOR PROVIDING AN INTERNET PROTOCOL ADDRESS WITH A DOMAIN NAME SERVER

_XX	or PCT International	ation Number Application Number		
	and was amended o	on (if applicable)		 •
I hereby state that I specification, includi	have reviewed and undering the claim(s), as amen	rstand the contents of the above ded by any amendment referre	/e-identi ed to ab	fied ove.
I acknowledge the d patentability as defin	uty to disclose all informated in Title 37, Code of F	ation known to me to be materi Federal Regulations, Section 1.	al to .56.	•
119(a)-(d), of any below and have also	foreign application(s) for identified below any for	Title 35, United States Code or patent or inventor's certific reign application for patent or it the application on which prio	cate list inventor	ed s
Prior Foreign Applicat	ion(s)		Priori <u>Claim</u>	
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No .
Date of Deposit: 5-2-1 I hereby certify that I a "Express Mail Post Of been addressed to the	m causing this paper or fee	to be deposited with the United Son the date indicated above and to Patents, Washington, D. C. 20	hat this p	stal Service aper or fee
Barbara Herz (Typed or printed nam	ne of person mailing paper of	or fee)		
(Signature of person r 52-97 (Date signed)	nailing paper or fee)		-	





I hereby claim the benefit under title 35, United States Code, Section 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below

(Application Number)	Filing Date	
(Application Number)	Filing Date	

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

(Application Number)	Filing Date	(Status patented, pending, abandoned)
(Application Number)	Filing Date	(Status patented, pending, abandoned)

I hereby appoint Aloysius T. C. AuYeung, Reg. No. 35,432; William Thomas Babbitt, Reg. No. 39,591; Jordan Michael Becker, Reg. No. 39,602; Bradley J. Bereznak, Reg. No. 33,474; Michael A. Bernadicou, Reg. No. 35,934; Roger W. Blakely, Jr., Reg. No. 25,831; Gregory D. Caldwell, Reg. No. 39,926; Kent M. Chen, Reg. No. 39,630; Lawrence M. Cho, Reg. No. 39,942; Thomas M. Coester, Reg. No. 39,637; Roland B. Cortes, Reg. No. 39,152; William Donald Davis, Reg. No. 38,428; Michael Anthony DeSanctis, Reg. No. 39,957; Daniel M. De Vos, Reg. No. 37,813; Karen L. Feisthamel, Reg. No. 40,264; James Y. Go, Reg. No. P-40,621; Tarek N. Fahmi, Reg. No. P-41,402; David R. Halvorson, Reg. No. 33,395; Eric Ho, Reg. No. 39,711; George W Hoover II, Reg. No. 32,992; Eric S. Hyman, Reg. No. 30,139; Dag H. Johansen, Reg. No. 36,172; Stephen L. King, Reg. No. 19,180; Dolly M. Lee, Reg. No. 39,742; Michael J. Mallie, Reg. No. 36,591; Kimberley G. Nobles, Reg. No. 38,255; Ronald W. Reagin, Reg. No. 20,340; James H. Salter, Reg. No. 35,668; William W. Schaal, Reg. No. 39,018; James C. Scheller, Reg. No. 31,195; Charles E. Shemwell, Reg. No. 40,171; Maria McCormack Sobrino, Reg. No. 31,639; Stanley W. Sokoloff, Reg. No. 25,128; Allan T. Sponseller, Reg. No. 38,318; Steven R. Sponseller, Reg. No. 39,384; Edwin H. Taylor, Reg. No. 25,129; Lester J. Vincent, Reg. No. 31,460; John Patrick Ward, Reg. No. 40,216; Ben J. Yorks, Reg. No. 33,609; and Norman Zafman, Reg. No. 26,250; my attorneys; and Robert Andrew Diehl, Reg. No. P-40,992; Sharmini Nathan Green, Reg. No. P-41,410; Thomas A. Hassing, Reg. No. 36,159; Edwin A. Sloane, Reg. No. 34,728; and Judith A. Szepesi, Reg. No. 39,393; my patent agents, of BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP, with offices located at 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, 7th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90025, telephone (310) 207-3800, with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.

elephone calls to <u>James H. Salte</u> (Name of Attorney or	
ents made on information and belief ents were made with the knowledge nishable by fine or imprisonment, o	nerein of my own knowledge are true and that all are believed to be true; and further that these that willful false statements and the like so made or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the lise statements may jeopardize the validity of the
me of Sole/First Inventor Rupesh Ka	
r's Signature Outook Fay	m Date 4/30/97
nce Mountain View, CA	Citizenship India
(City, State)	Citizenship India (Country)
ffice Address <u>1555 W. Middlefield</u>	Road, #7, Mountain View, CA, 94043-3052
me of Second/Joint Inventor	
nce	Citizenship (Country)
(City, State)	
me of Third/Joint Inventor	
r's Signature	Date
nce	Citizenship
(City, State)	(Country)
fice Address	

Send correspondence to _____James H. Salter___

_____, BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR &





Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclosure information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclosure all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made or record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.